STRICT OF NEW POR

Entered on Docket February 28, 2011



Hon. Mike K. Nakagawa United States Bankruptcy Judge

Kristin A. Schuler-Hintz, Esq., SBN 7171
Christopher K. Lezak, Esq., SBN 11185 McCarthy & Holthus, LLP
9510 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 110
Las Vegas, NV 89117
Phone (702) 685-0329 ext 3748
Fax (866) 339-5691
NVBK@McCarthyHolthus.com
Attorney for Secured Creditor,
The Bank of New York Mellon, fka The Bank of New York as Successor in interest to J
Morgan Chase Bank NA as Trustee for Structured Asset Mortgage Investments II Inc Bea
Stearns ALT-A Trust 2005-, its assignees and/or successors and the servicing agent AMERICA
SERVICING COMPANY

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

	ORDER TERMINATING AUTOMATIC STAY
Debtor.) TIME: 01:30 pm
Rebecca J Johnson, AKA: Rebecca Hubbard,) Chapter 7)) DATE: 02/23/11
In re:) Case No.: 11-10012-mkn

Rev. 12.09

1	The Motion for Relief From Automatic Stay came on regularly for hearing at the date and
2	time set forth above before the United States Bankruptcy Court. Upon review of the Motion and
3	supporting evidence, and good cause appearing, the Court rules as follows:
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the automatic star
5	provisions of 11 U.S.C. §362 be and are hereby terminated with respect to the interests of
6	Movant in the real property commonly known as 1701 Rushing River Road, North Las Vegas
7	NV 89031.
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Movant may proceed with post-foreclosure remedies
9	including any unlawful detainer action, in compliance with applicable law.
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	
13	Submitted by:
14	McCarthy & Holthus, LLP
15	/s/Christopher K. Lezak
16	Christopher K. Lezak, Esq. 9510 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 110
17	Las Vegas, NV 89117
18	702-685-0329
19	Approved/Disapproved
20	Order Filed 01/18/11-no response received
21	William A. Leonard 6625 S. Valley View #224
22	Las Vegas, NV 89118
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

Rev. 12.09

1	ALTERNATIVE METHOD re; RULE 9021:
2 3	In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order accurately reflects the court's ruling and that (check one):
4	☐ The court has waived the requirement set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).
5	
6 7 8	☐ I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:
9 10	Counsel appearing: Pro Se - None
11	Unrepresented parties appearing: None
12	Trustee: No Appearance at Hearing; No additional Service required.
13	
14	☐ I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form of
15 16	content of the order.
17	Submitted by:
18	McCarthy & Holthus, LLP
19 20	/s/ Christopher K. Lezak. Christopher K. Lezak, Esq.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	###
28	
29	

Rev. 12.09